


To: Tiago, Joseph[Tiago.Joseph@epa.gov]; Dermer, Michele[Dermer.Michele@epa.gov]
Cc: Moffatt, Brett[Moffatt.Brett@epa.gov]; Engelman, Alexa[ENGELMAN.ALEXA@EPA.GOV]; Bergman, Ronald[Bergman.Ronald@epa.gov]; Smith, Robert-Eu[Smith.Robert-Eu@epa.gov]; Darman, Leslie[Darman.Leslie@epa.gov]
From: Albright, David
Sent: Wed 7/16/2014 1:37:40 PM
Subject: Re: Version 1 of the MOA

Joe, there is also a record of communication in our files from Nov 82 where ODW informed the Region that HQs wanted the Region to remove AE language from the MOA and noting that RA did not have AE approval authority, nor any legal basis to allow an 18 month phase-out. Provides a potential explanation for MOA language change, albeit with interesting timing. I'll have to get that scanned as well. David

Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone on the Verizon Wireless 4G LTE network.



Hi David,

Thanks for sending this document. I am resending the version we have in our file (see attached). Please pay special attention to attachment 2 and the written notes from HQ, which flag the 11 formations with the understanding that they will be phased out in 18 months.

Thanks,

Joe.

From: Albright, David
Sent: Tuesday, July 15, 2014 5:43 PM
To: Tiago, Joseph; Dermer, Michele
Cc: Moffatt, Brett; Engelman, Alexa; Bergman, Ronald; Smith, Robert-Eu; Darman, Leslie
Subject: RE: Version 1 of the MOA

Hi Joe –

Attached is a copy of a memo with attachments we found in our files. The brief cover memo, dated Dec 13, 1982 is from a section chief in our Water Program to Phil Tate, UIC Review Coordinator, State Program Division (HQs). There are two attachments: 1) a letter from CA Deputy AG to EPA Region 9 regarding the CA primacy application; and 2) MOA between DOGGR and EPA. As you will see, this version of the MOA (signatures appear identical to those on the version you have) contains a change in the language about aquifer exemptions on p.7 and includes a longer Attachment 2 list, which is a combination of all the formations on Attachments 2 and 3 of the version of the MOA you have. This version of the MOA makes no reference to Attachment 3.

Let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,

David

From: Tiago, Joseph

Sent: Tuesday, July 15, 2014 1:34 PM

To: Dermer, Michele

Cc: Albright, David; Moffatt, Brett; Engelman, Alexa; Bergman, Ronald; Smith, Robert-Eu

Subject: RE: Version 1 of the MOA

Hi Michele,

Thanks for sending this version 1 of the MOA. This is what we have in our file. Do you want us to still send it to you?

I look forward to receiving version 2.

I am in tomorrow and happy to work with Leslie to look at the files in our possession.

Joe.

From: Dermer, Michele
Sent: Tuesday, July 15, 2014 4:05 PM
To: Tiago, Joseph
Cc: Albright, David; Moffatt, Brett; Engelman, Alexa
Subject: Version 1 of the MOA

Hi Joe,

I need to get a scanned version of the one with the longer Attachment 2 and no attachment 3. I do not have that in my possession.